<u>REMARKS</u>

The Examiner has required an election in the present application between:

Species I, illustrated in Fig(s). 1-7; and

Species II, illustrated in Fig(s). 8-12.

During a telephone interview with the Examiner on December 30, 2008, Applicants' representative noted that Figs. 8-12 are not directed to a second species as set forth in the Election of Species Requirement. Rather, Figs. 8-12 show a second component of the present invention. Accordingly, the Examiner agreed to withdraw this requirement as indicated in the Interview Summary, Paper No. 20081230.

To the extent that an election is deemed necessary to be fully responsive to the Election of Species Requirement, Applicants elect, with traverse for the reasons set forth above, Species I. Claims 21-51 are directed to the elected species.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Chad D. Wells, Registration No. 50,875 at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

2 JTE/CDW/

Docket No.: 3449-0445PUS1

## **REMARKS**

The Examiner has required an election in the present application between:

Species I, illustrated in Fig(s). 1-7; and

Species II, illustrated in Fig(s). 8-12.

During a telephone interview with the Examiner on December 30, 2008, Applicants' representative noted that Figs. 8-12 are not directed to a second species as set forth in the Election of Species Requirement. Rather, Figs. 8-12 show a second component of the present invention. Accordingly, the Examiner agreed to withdraw this requirement as indicated in the Interview Summary, Paper No. 20081230.

To the extent that an election is deemed necessary to be fully responsive to the Election of Species Requirement, Applicants elect, with traverse for the reasons set forth above, Species I. Claims 25-51 are directed to the elected species.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Chad D. Wells, Registration No. 50,875 at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

Application No.: 10/524,409 Docket No.: 3449-0445PUS1

Attached is a Petition for Extension of Time.

Attached hereto is the fee transmittal listing the required fees.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: January 21, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

c PW

James T. Eller, Jr.

Registration No.: 39,538

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

David A. Bilodeau Registration No. 43.325

David A. Bilodeau S.S.S. A.3.355

3 JTE/CDW/